

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
00.1000.040		TIKST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,362	07/11/2001	Felix Achille	44452A	9554
	590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER	
THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION			TRAN, THAO T	
P. O. BOX 196	7		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIDLAND, M	II 48641-1967		ART UNIT PAPER 1	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/903,362	ACHILLE, FELIX	4
and a state of the	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE - 541	Thao T. Tran	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.	ation.
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Oc	stohor 2004		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Ce except for formal matter		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quavle 1935 C.D	11, 453 O.C. 242	3 is
Disposition of Claims	, as garyin, 1000 0.D.	11, 403 O.G. 213.	
		-	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-31</u> is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	n from consideration.		
6) Claim(s) 1-11,32 and 33 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 1-11,32 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subjected to:			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to by	the Evaminar	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	awing(s) be held in abovence	Soc 27 OFD 4 OF()	
noplacement drawing sneet(s) including the correction	n is required if the drawing(a)	in abiasts 11 on an an	4.15
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar	miner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO 152	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		7.01.011.011.0111.15.10.152.	
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	nave been received.		-
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	lave been received in Ann	lication No	
o. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been re	Ceived in this National Stage	
application from the international Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17 2/a\\		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not red	ceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (BTO 049)	4) L Interview Sumi	nary (PTO-413) ail Date	Ē
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/05/04.	5) Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/903,362

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 25, 2004 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-33 are currently pending in this application.
- 3. Claims 12-16 and 18-31 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on April 16, 2003.
- 4. Claim 17 has been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim, as indicated in the Office action of March 4, 2004.
- 5. In view of the prior Office action of August 11, 2004, the rejection of claims 1-11 and 32, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagata et al. (US Pat. 5,567,744), has been withdrawn due to the Amendments made thereto.
- 6. In view of the prior Office action of August 11, 2004, the rejection of claim 33, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagata, has been withdrawn due to the Amendments made thereto.
- 7. Upon further consideration a new rejection is issued as follows.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,362

Art Unit: 1711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-12 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Korpman (US Pat. 4,318,408).

Korpman teaches an extruded thermoplastic superabsorbent polymer composition and a method of making, the composition comprising a water-swellable organic polymer imbedded in a water-insoluble non-swelling matrix of an elastomeric polymer (see abstract).

Korpman teaches that the absorbent polymers (superabsorbent) include acrylate polymer, acrylate modified polysaccharides, and crosslinked carboxylmethyl cellulose (see col. 4, ln. 7-43). The elastomeric polymers include block copolymers of styrene, butadiene, ethylene, butylenes, propylene (see col. 8, ln. 45-59, col. 9, ln. 29-39). Korpman teaches the blend further comprising an emulsifier (surfactant) (see col. 7, ln. 30). The blend can be extruded (see col. 10, ln. 10-12).

Although Korpman does not specifically teach how the elastomeric polymers interact with the absorbent polymers, or the melt draw down rate of the polymer blend, since Korpman teaches the same chemical constituents of the blend, these properties would inherently be the same as presently claimed.

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Korpman as applied to claim 32 above.

Korpman is as set forth in claim 32 above and incorporated herein.

Korpman further teaches the absorbent polymer is about 5-200 parts for every 100 parts by weight of the matrix polymers, which would translate into about 5-67% weight in the blend, overlapping the instantly claimed range. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have selected the overlapping portion as taught by Korpman, because by teaching the overlapping portion Korpman directly teaches the use of a concentration within the instantly claimed range. See MPEP 2144.05, subsection I.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 and 32-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1080. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

t

November 15, 2004

THAO T. TRAN
PATENT EXAMINER